Pollution Prevention: Region 5 Hazardous Substance Reduction
On the Path to Greener Chemistry

Module 2: Design for the Environment Tools and External Resources for Identifying Safer Chemical Alternatives
## MODULE AT A GLANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration &amp; Elapsed Time</th>
<th>Module 2 Topics</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 minutes 20 minutes</td>
<td>Overview of DfE Tools and Resources</td>
<td>4-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 minutes 35 minutes</td>
<td>DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments</td>
<td>18-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Minutes 1 hour</td>
<td>External Tools For Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives</td>
<td>27-41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL TIME: 1 HOUR**
Facilitator Icon Key

**Instructions**
This icon means there are specific instructions for the facilitator. This content should not be read aloud.

**Background Information**
This icon indicates that there is background information the facilitator should be aware of when covering this topic. This information is for the facilitator only.

**Say**
This icon means the facilitator should read the content nearly verbatim, interjecting his/her thoughts when appropriate.

**Key Point**
This icon indicates an important point that the facilitator should communicate to the audience in his/her own words.

**Discussion Questions**
This icon means the facilitator should allow time for the audience to ask questions or the facilitator should ask the audience questions.

**View Video**
This icon indicates the facilitator will have students watch a video.

**Online Resource**
This icon indicates the facilitator will have students visit an online resource.

**Handouts**
This icon indicates the facilitator will give students a handout or other resource material.

**Topic Transition**
This icon indicates the facilitator will provide a transition from one topic to another.
Instructions: This is the first slide of a series that focus on topics related Design for the Environment (DfE) Tools and Resources.

Discussion Questions: Ask the trainees about their familiarity with the DfE.

Sub Topics
- EPA's Design for the Environment (DfE) tools and resources
- Safer Product Labeling
- The Safer Chemical Ingredients List

Desired Outcomes
- Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Notes for the Facilitator

Say: The topics that we will discuss in the first section of this module include:

- The Design for the Environment Program
- Key Concepts on Safer Alternatives
- Safer Product Labeling Program
  - Functional Class Criteria
  - Safer Chemical Ingredient List
  - Safer Products

Say: EPA's Design for the Environment (DfE) program helps consumers, businesses, and institutional buyers identify cleaning and other products that perform well, are cost-effective, and are safer for the environment.

Specific Outcomes

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Say: DfE works in partnership with industry, environmental groups, and academia to reduce risk to people and the environment by finding ways to prevent pollution. For more than 20 years, through partnership projects, DfE has evaluated human health and environmental concerns associated with traditional and alternative chemicals and processes in a range of industries. These analyses empower businesses to select safer chemicals and technologies.

Key Point: As incentives to businesses for participation and driving change, DfE offers EPA technical tools, methodologies, and expertise. This expertise in Green Chemistry, toxicology, and modeling enables industry to make more informed decisions.

DfE allows products that have been determined to be effective and safer for human health and the environment to carry its label. Before you buy, look for the DfE label on household cleaners and other products.
How DfE Supports Safer Chemistry

- Identify and compare chemicals based on functionality and hazard
- Help companies develop and choose safer chemical products
- Provide resources to identify safer products and safer chemicals
- Develop criteria that help suppliers design safer chemicals, and create market demand for those chemistries

Notes for the Facilitator

Say: DfE supports safer chemistry by:

- Identify and compare chemicals based on functionality and hazard
- Help companies develop and choose safer chemical products
- Provide resources to identify safer products and safer chemicals
- Develop criteria that help suppliers design safer chemicals, and create market demand for those chemistries

Sub Topic

Overview of DfE Tools and Resources
20 minutes

Specific Outcomes

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
The functionality of a chemical is the job it performs in a formulation, material or product; its function is related to the chemical structure and physical chemical properties. Comparing alternatives that perform similar functions is a key concept in identifying safer alternatives.

Examples of functional use classes for chemicals include:

- **Surfactants**
- **Solvents**
- **Plasticizers**
- **Colorants**
- **Anti-oxidants**
- **Flame retardants**
- **Blowing agents**
- **Stabilizers**
- **Processing aids**
Key Concept: Continuous Improvement

Applied to Ingredients in the Same Functional Class

- Potential Concern
- Improved
- Safer

Characteristics of Ingredient of Concern
Characteristics of Improved Ingredient
Characteristics of Safer Ingredient

Notes for the Facilitator

Key Point: This slide highlights the key concept of continuous improvement.

Sub Topic
Overview of DfE Tools and Resources
20 minutes

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
The Safer Product Labeling Program, which partners with manufacturers, is a voluntary program that recognizes products that are high-performance and cost-effective using the safest chemical ingredients. To earn the DfE label, products undergo a review of every ingredient in a given product. Products are reviewed by functional use class and on a pass/fail basis against established criteria.

Background Information: Partners in the program agree to use the DfE logo on all reviewed products and agree to annual audits. DfE has reviewed over 2700 products from more than 500 manufacturers.

The review formulation includes:
- Synergistic effects
- pH
- Performance testing
The Value of the DfE Label

- DfE Standard describes what is not allowed.
  - Identified through authoritative lists
  - Analogs to listed chemicals are not allowed
- DfE Standard describes what is allowed.
  - Criteria for Safer Ingredients
  - Data are required to meet these criteria
  - No data = fail
- Drives product innovation.

Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** The DfE label establishes a standard for products and their components, controlling for what is and isn’t permissible when seeking the DfE label.

**Instructions:** Briefly discuss what the standard allows and doesn’t allow.

**Say:** Beyond reviewing products, the program is designed to facilitate product/chemical innovation. The goal is to effectively drive suppliers and manufacturers to develop safer chemicals and accompanying test data for biodegradation, carcinogenicity, aquatic toxicity, etc.

Sub Topic

Overview of DfE Tools and Resources
20 minutes

Specific Outcomes

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
### Safer Chemical Ingredient Criteria

- Function is often related to toxicity  
  - e.g., Surfactancy generally is associated with aquatic toxicity  
- Certain hazard traits may be distinguishing  
- DfE Functional Class Criteria define **safer** within a use class:  
  - Surfactants  
  - Solvents  
  - Fragrances  
  - Chelating Agents

### Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** “Acute aquatic toxicity increases exponentially with increases in the hydrophobic chain length when the number of ethoxy groups or the hydrophilic component is held constant.” -- EPA OPPT New Chemicals Category for nonionic surfactants

“All detergents destroy the external mucus layers that protect the fish from bacteria and parasites; plus they can cause severe damage to the gills.”

**Online Resource:** More information can be found at: [http://www.lenntech.com/aquatic/detergents.htm#ixzz1xpD5EICR](http://www.lenntech.com/aquatic/detergents.htm#ixzz1xpD5EICR)

### Sub Topic

**Overview of DfE Tools and Resources**

20 minutes

### Specific Outcomes

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Instructions:** This slide provides an example rate of acute aquatic toxicity as it relates to rates of biodegradation, and provides a range of toxicity based on varying rate of biodegradation.

**Say:** Safer surfactants degrade quickly to low toxicity degradates.

**Background Information:** the footnotes on the slide refer to the following:

1. Generally, >60% mineralization (to CO2 and water) in 28 days.
2. Products of concern are compounds with high acute aquatic toxicity (L/E/IC50 ≤ 10ppm) and a slow rate of biodegradation (greater than 28 days).
The safer surfactants criteria has been used by DfE to evaluate and identify safer alternatives. Chemical manufacturers have also used the criteria to design new surfactants and develop critical data needed to understand the relative preferability of surfactants.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Online Resource:** Navigate to the Safer Chemical Ingredients List: [http://www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients.htm](http://www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients.htm)

**Say:** This list contains chemicals that meet the functional class criteria of the Design for the Environment (DfE) Safer Product Labeling Program. This list of safer chemical ingredients is arranged by functional-use class such as surfactants, solvents, and fragrances.
Sub Topic
Overview of DfE Tools and Resources
20 minutes

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

Online Resource: Navigate to the Safer Chemical Ingredients List: http://www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients.htm

Key Point: This list can be used in identifying chemicals that the DfE program has already evaluated and identified as safer.

The listed chemicals are safer alternatives, grouped by their functional use and are marked with a green circle, a green half-circle, or a yellow triangle.

- Green circle - The chemical has been verified to be of low concern based on experimental and modeled data.
- Green half-circle - The chemical is expected to be of low concern based on experimental and modeled data. Additional data would strengthen our confidence in the chemical’s safer status.
- Yellow triangle - The chemical has met DfE criteria for its functional ingredient-class, but has some hazard profile issues. Specifically, a chemical with this code is not associated with a low level of hazard concern for all human health and environmental endpoints. While it is a best-in-class chemical and among the safest available for a particular function, the function fulfilled by the chemical should be considered an area for safer chemistry innovation (continuous improvement).

Discussion Question: Which tools identified in this training module could complement your current approach in identifying safer chemical alternatives to achieve greater hazardous substances reductions?
Say: EPA allows safer products to carry the Design for the Environment (DfE) label. This mark allows consumers to quickly identify and choose products that can help protect the environment and are safer for families. When you see the DfE logo on a product it means that the DfE scientific review team has screened each ingredient for potential human health and environmental effects and that—based on the best currently available information, EPA predictive models, and expert judgment—the product contains only those ingredients that pose the least concern among chemicals in their class.

Product manufacturers who become Design for the Environment partners and earn the right to display the Design for the Environment logo on recognized products have invested heavily in research, development and reformulation, to ensure that their ingredients and finished product line up on the green end of the health and environmental spectrum, while maintaining or improving product performance. The Design for the Environment label is recognized by retailers as a mark of environmental preferability.

EPA uses rigorous criteria to ensure that Design for the Environment-labeled products are safer for human health and the environment. The Design for the Environment label makes it easy for industrial and institutional purchasers and users to quickly identify safer chemical products that do not sacrifice quality or performance. Design for the Environment has approved more than 1,000 industrial and institutional products.
Notes for the Facilitator

Say: This is the first slide of a series that focus on tools that can be used in support of hazardous substance pollution prevention assessments.

Topics

• DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments

Desired Outcomes

• Increased understanding of the DfE assessment approach for developing safer chemical alternatives.
Say: DfE's Alternatives Assessment Program helps industries choose safer chemicals for applications. Alternatives assessments characterize chemical hazards based on a full range of human health and environmental information. Chemical choices made based on these assessments can minimize the potential for unintended consequences that might occur in moving from a potentially problematic chemical to a poorly understood alternative, which could be more hazardous.
DfE Chemical Alternatives Assessments

1. Performance
2. Cost
3. Human health hazards
4. Environmental hazards
5. Additional lifecycle attributes

Notes for the Facilitator

Say: Aspects of all of these attributes are included in a DfE Chemical Alternatives Assessments. Stakeholders often provide information on performance and cost, and other lifecycle attributes. Health and environmental data from chemical suppliers may also be gathered. DfE’s primary role is the assessment of available data, so that complex toxicological studies can be summarized in a straightforward way. This allows those who are not toxicologists or otherwise familiar with such test data to incorporate this information into their decision-making.

Sub Topic
DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments
15 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 35 minutes)

Specific Outcomes
Increased understanding of the DfE assessment approach for developing safer chemical alternatives.
Notes for the Facilitator

Say: Providing information to decision-makers that will promote informed chemical substitution and minimize unintended consequences from these substitutes.

Typically all of the endpoints are used in an alternatives assessment. Each is ranked either high, medium, or low based either on thresholds (if data are available) or evidence (certain endpoints such as C and M, but also chemicals that lack data or analogs).

The outcomes of a DfE chemical alternatives assessment are:

- Providing information that manufacturers can use to create more sustainable products.
- Helping minimize the potential for unintended consequences by reducing the likelihood of moving to alternatives that could pose a concern.
Say: This slide lists common, internationally agreed upon endpoints for characterizing hazards. These hazard endpoints are taken from international and other EPA precedents. These represent common toxicological endpoints used to characterize the potential hazards of chemicals.

In the current version 2.0 of the Alternatives Assessment Criteria, the endpoints from earlier assessments were added to the list of endpoints. Acute toxicity is new as is respiratory sensitization, eye and skin irritation/corrosivity, and endocrine activity. This last endpoint is not a hazard endpoint. Rather it’s an informational endpoint and the focus is on assessing evidence for the presence or absence of endocrine activity, defined as a change in endocrine homeostasis caused by a chemical or other stressor from human activities. We will not try to characterize this endpoint in terms of “hazard”.

These additional endpoints (such as explosivity) could be added to an alternatives assessment, if they are relevant to the chemicals in question, and data are generally available.

Background Information: DfE does not try to characterize endocrine activity in terms of “hazard,” in part because the science is still emerging so it is difficult to determine when a change in activity results in harm, but also because we do not expect to have complete data on most chemicals evaluated, thus it is likely to be difficult to evaluate endocrine-associated hazards based on a patchwork of data.
**Sub Topic**
DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments
15 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 35 minutes)

**Specific Outcomes**
Increased understanding of the DfE assessment approach for developing safer chemical alternatives.

---

### Notes for the Facilitator

**Online Resource:** The full document is available at: [www.epa.gov/alternatives_assessments](http://www.epa.gov/alternatives_assessments).
Notes for the Facilitator

**Instructions:** Highlight what was done well:

- Used many of the standard human health and environmental hazard concerns that are regularly used to characterize chemicals.
- Evaluated data on each constituent of the flame retardant, and gave the chemical a low, moderate, or high hazard designation based on the data for each endpoint.
- Noted higher confidence in the assessment by using bold letters; for lower confidence, black, italic letters are used.

**Background Information:** The criteria were not sufficiently transparent (used NCP criteria). Some of the criteria, for example Systemic Toxicity, did not provide sufficient discriminatory power. As a result, all chemicals were given a “moderate” for systemic toxicity. Recognize that the criteria not reflecting some of the subtleties that would distinguish these chemicals?

Through past and current projects, DfE has demonstrated the power of comparative chemical hazard assessment. But the method can only be adopted by other organizations if it’s sufficiently transparent.

- DfE completed its first Alternatives Assessment nearly six years ago.
- It used New Chemicals Program (NCP) criteria to define low, moderate, or high hazard designation. NCP criteria are well understood within EPA, but less transparent to those outside of EPA.
- Documented the criteria that define these designations.
- Distinguish based on the chemical’s potential not just to cause an adverse effect, but also the potency at which those effects occur.

**Sub Topic**

DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments
15 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 35 minutes)

**Specific Outcomes**

Increased understanding of the DfE assessment approach for developing safer chemical alternatives.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** Alternatives Assessments identify potential alternatives:

- Some may be safer
- Some may be associated with trade-offs
- In some cases green chemistry alternatives are not identified
- BPA alternatives have important trade-offs
- No “true green” alternative identified
- Concerns must be balanced, considering use
- Alternative approaches should be considered
- Complete redesign – green chemistry & sustainability principles
- E-receipts
- Alternative printer technologies

---

**Sub Topic**

DfE Chemical Alternative Assessments
15 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 35 minutes)

**Specific Outcomes**

Increased understanding of the DfE assessment approach for developing safer chemical alternatives.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Instructions:** Discuss examples of DfE Alternative Assessments

**Discussion Questions:**

1) Which tools identified in this training module could complement your current approach in identifying safer chemical alternatives to achieve greater hazardous substances reductions?

2) What are the barriers and/or challenges your organization may face when adopting these tools into your current approach?
Say: This is the first slide of a series that focus on tools that can be used in support of hazardous substance pollution prevention assessments.

Note that many of the tools presented in this section have been developed in collaboration with EPA Design for the Environment Program.

**Sub Topic**
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

**Specific Outcomes**
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

**Topics**
- External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives

**Desired Outcomes**
- Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Say: The rest of this module presents tools for assessing hazards and finding alternatives.

Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Say: EPA includes “authoritative lists” in its Alternatives Assessment Criteria. Authoritative lists typically come from governmental bodies, such as the EU CMR list provided in the slide. These lists provide information on the potential hazards of chemicals that have been received by a governmental or other authoritative organization. For example, the European Union identifies possible carcinogens (C), mutagens (M), and reproductive toxicants (R). These classifications are useful in understanding what is already known about a chemical.

Online Resources: EU CMR – European Union list of carcinogenic, mutagenic and toxic to reproduction substances.

Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
The GreenScreen™ (GS) for Safer Chemicals

- Builds off DfE Alternatives Assessment methodology and criteria
- How it Works
  1. Assess and Classify the Hazards
  2. Apply the Benchmarks
  3. Make Informed Decisions

Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

Say: The GreenScreen is an open source tool developed by Clean Production Action, a non-profit focused on safer chemicals and sustainable materials. The GreenScreen builds off of the Design for the Environment alternatives assessment approach. Toxicological data are collected and evaluated using the GreenScreen criteria (which are similar to DfE criteria). Then benchmarks (described further on the next slide) are applied to determine the most preferable of the available alternatives. This is a robust tool that does require a fair amount of technical expertise to apply.
Chemicals are put into 4 categories using hazard benchmarks, which identify high hazard chemicals from 1 being the worst to 4 designating the best. The 1s are chemicals of high concern that you would want to potentially eliminate from your processes and emissions. As mentioned before, this aligns with global priorities.

Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator
Say: Chemicals are put into 4 categories using hazard benchmarks, which identify high hazard chemicals from 1 being the worst to 4 designating the best. The 1s are chemicals of high concern that you would want to potentially eliminate from your processes and emissions. As mentioned before, this aligns with global priorities.
Various non-profit and for-profit organizations have developed automated tools to screen authoritative lists. This slide highlights examples of automated list screening tools. The proceeding slides will highlight use of these tools.

GreenWERCS™ - GreenWERCS™ analyzes the composition of individual products using ingredient data to examine its potential impact on human health and the environment. It uses a pre-identified scoring and weighting algorithm to provide information on the chemical ingredients of the products and indicate whether or not they include persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substances (PBTs); carcinogens, mutagens or reproductive toxicants (CMRs); potential hazardous waste; and probable endocrine disrupters.

Once the product formulation is entered into the system, GreenWERCS™ provides a product's green score and a visual analysis on how its products rank and where the most immediate opportunities are to reformulate without hazardous chemicals. For more information visit http://www.thewercs.com/products-and-services/greenwercs

The goal of the SUBSPORT project is to develop an internet portal that constitutes a state-of-the-art resource on safer alternatives to the use of hazardous chemicals. It should be a source of not just information on alternative substances and technologies, but also of tools and guidance for substance evaluation and substitution management. The portal is intended to support companies in fulfilling substitution requirements of EU legislation, such as those specified under the REACH authorization procedure, the Water Framework Directive or the Chemical Agents Directive. In addition, the project aims to create a network of experts and stakeholders who are active in substitution.

External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Say: Results are reported in a GreenScreen™ hazard table and program benchmark logic is applied:

- It is difficult to do this. It is costly to do by chemical to chemical.
- The goal is that this is easier to do with GS LiTe, Set up in a hosted environment.
- No IT support is required
- Minimal user training is needed
- However, there is a monthly subscription fee
Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

Say: This screenshot shows an example of how users can navigate within the GreenWERCS data base. Within the database, users can highlight any box to view regulations and hazards associated with each Chemical Abstract Service number (CAS#).
Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator
Say: Results can be generated in PDF reports that are easy to update.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** SUBSPORT is an online, user-friendly database providing information to support efforts in substituting hazardous substances. One way SUBSPORT can be used is to find case studies with general information on alternatives to use in place of hazardous substances. Region 5 successfully used SUBSPORT in this manner.

When searching for a safer chemical substitution for TCE for cleaning surfaces in Subsport, Region 5 quickly located a case study showing less toxic alternatives (water and soy based, natural abrasive materials, carbon dioxide and acetone) are as effective and less expensive than the typically used halogenated solvents for cleaning energized and non-energized equipment.

A summary of “Alternatives to Toxic, VOC, Ozone Depleting and Global Warming Energized Electrical Equipment Cleaners” can be found in your packet. It provides examples of the use of environmentally preferable alternates to halogenated solvents for cleaning electrical equipment at utilities. These alternatives may be useful in other general purpose cleaning applications.
Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** CleanGredients is an online database containing safer chemical ingredients that are found in cleaning products. This database provides verified information about the environmental and human health attributes of listed ingredients.

CleanGredients is a useful tool for formulators who want to identify safer cleaning ingredients. Formulators who subscribe to the CleanGredients database can find a list of surfactants, solvents, chelating and sequestering agents, and fragrances that meet DfE’s Criteria. Nearly 200 formulators are finding safer ingredients on CleanGredients.

CleanGredients streamlines partnership with the U.S. EPA DfE Safer Products Labeling Program by listing ingredient chemicals that are “pre-screened” against the high bar of the DfE’s human and environmental health criteria.

**Online Resource:** For more information visit [http://www.cleangredients.org/home](http://www.cleangredients.org/home)
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

Say: This slide provides a screen shot of search results using CleanGredients.
The Lowell Center in Massachusetts developed a compendium of methods and tools for hazardous assessments. This compendium provides a description of methods and tools for chemical assessments. The compendium identifies those tools used to screen hazardous chemicals, those that compare alternatives, and those that identify preferred chemicals and products. It provides user-friendly descriptions of each tool, including its ease of use, if there is a cost to use the tool, and the strengths and limitations of each tool.

Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.
Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

**Background Information:** The OECD, an international governmental body, has convened an ad hoc group to develop a toolbox for alternatives assessment practitioners. This work is in its early stages, supported by a broad group of stakeholders from across the OECD countries, including the US (and its states), Canada, the European Union and New Zealand. Jonathan Rivin, from the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, is leading a sub-group to develop an inventory of tools for alternatives assessment as part of this toolbox.
Sub Topic
External Tools for Assessing Hazards and Finding Alternatives
25 minutes
(Elapsed Time: 1 hour)

Specific Outcomes
Increased use of resources to identify safer chemical alternatives, thereby reducing hazardous substance usage.

Notes for the Facilitator

**Say:** This slide provides links to the resources we have discussed to this point of the module.

- GreenWercs: thewercs.com/node/4723
- GreenScreen™: cleanproduction.org/Greenscreen.php
- CleanGredients: cleangredients.org
- SUBSPORT: subsport.eu/
- Lowell Center: sustainableproduction.org
- OECD Alternatives Assessment Toolbox: peter.borkey@oecd.org